

THE OUTSIDE DOG.

You may sing of your dog, your bottom dog,
Or of any dog that you please;
I go for the dog, the nice old dog,
That knowingly takes his ease,
And, wagging his tail outside the ring,
Keeping always his bone in sight,
Cares not a pin in his sound old head
For either dog in the fight.

Not his is the bone they are fighting for,
And why should my dog sail in
With nothing to gain, but a certain chance
To lose his own precious skin?
There may be a few, perhaps, who fail
To see it quite in this light:
But when the fur flies I had rather be
The outside dog in the fight.

I know there are dogs, injudicious dogs,
That think it is quite the thing
To take the part of one of the dogs,
And go yelping into the ring.
But I care not a pin what all may say
In regard to the wrongs or the right;
My money goes, as well as my song,
For the dog that keeps out of the fight.

—Philadelphia Call.

SUMPTUARY LAWS.

Queer Attempts to Suppress Luxury and the Results To-Day.

I suppose it is an accepted fact that restrained luxury has always had a large share in the moral ruin of communities, and contributed more than any thing else to the downfall of great nations. The reader of history will recall to mind the luxurious prodigality which hastened the disastrous decline and fall of the great empires of Rome and Persia. He will think of that Sybarite self-indulgence which is a byword among us to this day, and of its issue in helplessness and ruin; nor are illustrations wanting in modern times where luxury and extravagance carried to immoderate extent have been the heralds of national decay.

"It fares the land, to hast'ning ills a prey,
Where wealth accumulates, and men decay."

It is not surprising, therefore, that wise statesmen and rulers, warned by the page of history, have, from time to time, attempted to regulate private expenditure by laws, called Sumptuary Laws, from the Latin sumptus, expense. The first of these was issued in Rome so early as B. C. 215, and followed by many others of a similar kind. In the reign of Tiberius, the Senate passed a law prohibiting the use of plate of "massy gold." This powerful body at the same time exercised its jurisdiction over wearing apparel, which was not thought beneath the consideration of so august an assembly. Men were forbidden to debase themselves with garments of silk, "fit only for women," and it was left for the effeminate Helio-gabalus, two hundred years after, to initiate its use among luxurious Romans. For in the early days of the Empire, silk was a rare novelty, and considered equal in value to gold, weight for weight. The Rome which these sumptuary laws endeavored to reform was the Rome where, a few years before, Lucullus had revelled, and caused that famous feast to be spread at a word in his Hall of Apollo, which, for its sumptuous profusion, is a wonder to this day. It was the Rome of a vicious luxury, revealed to us with life-like reality in the disintegrated ruins of its sister city of Pompeii, where the eating and drinking, the feasting and the revelry were stopped in mid-career by Vesuvius' molten breath. Years went on, and in spite of the Senate, Oriental luxury little by little worked its insidious way through the links into the very heart of the Roman Empire, until the manhood of its citizens became paralyzed, and the Mistress of the World fell an easy prey to her barbarian foes.

To this day the sable gondolas which glide over the waters of the Grand Canal bear witness to the strictness of the sumptuary laws which once governed the Republic of Venice. And other European States of modern times afford similar instances of less successful endeavors to suppress personal extravagance by law.

Some of the French Sovereigns did their utmost to combat the natural tendency of their subjects to vanity and display, whether on the table or the person. Charles VI., a Prince of the house of Valois, issued a peremptory edict: "Let no man presume to treat with more than a soup and two dishes!" Earlier still, in the beginning of the fourteenth century, Philip the Fair (notorious as the persecutor of the Knights Templars) also attempted to regulate his subjects' expenses even to the minutest detail. Not only was the number of dishes restricted, both at fasts and feasts, but lest the law should be in any way evaded, each dish was limited to one kind of meat only. The King's supervision also extended to the wardrobes of his subjects. No Duke, Count or Baron was to have more than four robes a year, the same number being permitted to their wives. Prelates, and Knights for the most part, were limited to two, while every woman, whether single or married, whose annual income did not amount to the sum of two thousand livres was allowed but one! Imagine her Grace the Duchess or my Lady Countess of our own day submitting to have the number of her dresses limited by law!

But not only the number, even the very cut, color, trimming and price of these garments were arranged by royal decree. Only persons of certain rank were entitled to wear cloaks trimmed with fur, and the breadth of these borders, together with the quality of the fur or ermine, was to vary according to the different classes of nobility. The use of fur has indeed only become general within the last few centuries. It was not worn by the reformed nations of antiquity, but as luxury gained ground in more modern times, Princes began to make use of it for lining their tents. In the days of Philip the Fair, the size of a cape, the length of a lady's train was also determined by the rank of the wearer.

Lined velvet caps were permitted only to Kings, Princes and Knights. Their cloaks were of scarlet or violet cloth, while those of meaner rank went clad in garments of more somber hue. At times the sumptuary laws were very strictly enforced, and we hear of occasional instances in which overdressed ladies and gentlemen were seized at a ball and carried off to prison for setting the royal commands at defiance.

But of all classes of society the citizens suffered most from the harassing, if necessary, restrictions. Their comforts, as well as their superfluities, were suppressed by the jealous vigilance of the law. Not only were they and their households forbidden the privilege of wearing furs, jewelry or "crowns of gold and silver," but no citizen's wife was allowed to use the primitive two-wheeled vehicle which later developed into the more comfortable coach, or carriage. She must ride behind her husband on the same horse when she did not go afoot; nor might she be lighted home through the streets at night with waxen torches in emulation of her betters. Doubtless in the reign of Philip the Fair, the different classes of society strove to tread upon each other's heels, much as they do now, and these apparently petty restrictions were devised to meet the occasion. It is fair to say, however, that the King himself set an example of moderation and frugality. In these days the royal family wore gold and jewels but sparingly, and showed a praiseworthy simplicity in the furnishing and decoration of the royal table.

But neither example nor precept served to check the extravagance of our neighbors over the water. Luxury increased among them, and in the time of Henry IV.—the once simple, hardy King of Navarre—the taste for dress had reached an absurd height. The wearing apparel of this period was profusely decorated with gold, silver and jewels; and it is recorded of the King's favorite, Gabrielle d'Estrees, that when fully dressed she was so encumbered with finery that she could neither move nor stand! One is reminded of a French Court of later date, and of the new made Empress Josephine staggering under the magnificence of the splendid train which her unwilling sisters-in-law so negligently supported.

The love of display and senseless profusion which characterized the France of the Bourbons reappeared after a brief hiatus under the first Empire, being fostered by the lavish expenditure of the court, and once more in the palmy days of the Empress Eugenie. Many husbands and fathers of our own times have had reason to deplore that the extravagance and excessive variety of costumes which she encouraged among her subjects should of late years have been wafted across the channel to our more sober island.

Yet English of olden time were not altogether guiltless of extravagance in the matter of food and apparel, as the sumptuary laws of our own sovereigns Edward III., Edward IV., Henry VIII., and Elizabeth can bear witness. Possibly the hint in law-making from his maternal grandfather, the self-same French King, Philip, who gave these small details so much of his attention. As for our maiden Queen, she had herself the true feminine love for a large and varied wardrobe, but she did not countenance a like extravagance in her subjects. Even the dimensions of their ruffs were circumscribed by law, as the length of their shoes had been in previous reigns.

The days are gone by for interference of this kind with the liberties of the subject. No arbitrary distinction of dress or equipment now divides patrician from plebeian. In our streets and social gatherings to-day the wife of an alderman, of a merchant, of a judge, of a cotton spinner is indistinguishable in outward adornments from a Peeress of the realm. Her furs are as broad, her jewels as abundant and costly, the appointments of her table are arranged with, at least, an affectation of the same luxury. Only, alas! the "thing signified" by all this outward bravery, the inward grace of good breeding is sometimes found wanting, and still marks the difference between gentle and simple, formerly shown in the breadth of a trimming, the sparkle or absence of a jewel! Wealth, the great leveler, is allowed full scope, unlimited in its workings by either Queen or Senate. No statesman would now dream of enforcing private economy by act of Parliament.—The Argosy.

mined by the rank of the wearer. Lined velvet caps were permitted only to Kings, Princes and Knights. Their cloaks were of scarlet or violet cloth, while those of meaner rank went clad in garments of more somber hue. At times the sumptuary laws were very strictly enforced, and we hear of occasional instances in which overdressed ladies and gentlemen were seized at a ball and carried off to prison for setting the royal commands at defiance.

But of all classes of society the citizens suffered most from the harassing, if necessary, restrictions. Their comforts, as well as their superfluities, were suppressed by the jealous vigilance of the law. Not only were they and their households forbidden the privilege of wearing furs, jewelry or "crowns of gold and silver," but no citizen's wife was allowed to use the primitive two-wheeled vehicle which later developed into the more comfortable coach, or carriage. She must ride behind her husband on the same horse when she did not go afoot; nor might she be lighted home through the streets at night with waxen torches in emulation of her betters. Doubtless in the reign of Philip the Fair, the different classes of society strove to tread upon each other's heels, much as they do now, and these apparently petty restrictions were devised to meet the occasion. It is fair to say, however, that the King himself set an example of moderation and frugality. In these days the royal family wore gold and jewels but sparingly, and showed a praiseworthy simplicity in the furnishing and decoration of the royal table.

But neither example nor precept served to check the extravagance of our neighbors over the water. Luxury increased among them, and in the time of Henry IV.—the once simple, hardy King of Navarre—the taste for dress had reached an absurd height. The wearing apparel of this period was profusely decorated with gold, silver and jewels; and it is recorded of the King's favorite, Gabrielle d'Estrees, that when fully dressed she was so encumbered with finery that she could neither move nor stand! One is reminded of a French Court of later date, and of the new made Empress Josephine staggering under the magnificence of the splendid train which her unwilling sisters-in-law so negligently supported.

The love of display and senseless profusion which characterized the France of the Bourbons reappeared after a brief hiatus under the first Empire, being fostered by the lavish expenditure of the court, and once more in the palmy days of the Empress Eugenie. Many husbands and fathers of our own times have had reason to deplore that the extravagance and excessive variety of costumes which she encouraged among her subjects should of late years have been wafted across the channel to our more sober island.

Yet English of olden time were not altogether guiltless of extravagance in the matter of food and apparel, as the sumptuary laws of our own sovereigns Edward III., Edward IV., Henry VIII., and Elizabeth can bear witness. Possibly the hint in law-making from his maternal grandfather, the self-same French King, Philip, who gave these small details so much of his attention. As for our maiden Queen, she had herself the true feminine love for a large and varied wardrobe, but she did not countenance a like extravagance in her subjects. Even the dimensions of their ruffs were circumscribed by law, as the length of their shoes had been in previous reigns.

The days are gone by for interference of this kind with the liberties of the subject. No arbitrary distinction of dress or equipment now divides patrician from plebeian. In our streets and social gatherings to-day the wife of an alderman, of a merchant, of a judge, of a cotton spinner is indistinguishable in outward adornments from a Peeress of the realm. Her furs are as broad, her jewels as abundant and costly, the appointments of her table are arranged with, at least, an affectation of the same luxury. Only, alas! the "thing signified" by all this outward bravery, the inward grace of good breeding is sometimes found wanting, and still marks the difference between gentle and simple, formerly shown in the breadth of a trimming, the sparkle or absence of a jewel! Wealth, the great leveler, is allowed full scope, unlimited in its workings by either Queen or Senate. No statesman would now dream of enforcing private economy by act of Parliament.—The Argosy.

The days are gone by for interference of this kind with the liberties of the subject. No arbitrary distinction of dress or equipment now divides patrician from plebeian. In our streets and social gatherings to-day the wife of an alderman, of a merchant, of a judge, of a cotton spinner is indistinguishable in outward adornments from a Peeress of the realm. Her furs are as broad, her jewels as abundant and costly, the appointments of her table are arranged with, at least, an affectation of the same luxury. Only, alas! the "thing signified" by all this outward bravery, the inward grace of good breeding is sometimes found wanting, and still marks the difference between gentle and simple, formerly shown in the breadth of a trimming, the sparkle or absence of a jewel! Wealth, the great leveler, is allowed full scope, unlimited in its workings by either Queen or Senate. No statesman would now dream of enforcing private economy by act of Parliament.—The Argosy.

Some of the French Sovereigns did their utmost to combat the natural tendency of their subjects to vanity and display, whether on the table or the person. Charles VI., a Prince of the house of Valois, issued a peremptory edict: "Let no man presume to treat with more than a soup and two dishes!" Earlier still, in the beginning of the fourteenth century, Philip the Fair (notorious as the persecutor of the Knights Templars) also attempted to regulate his subjects' expenses even to the minutest detail. Not only was the number of dishes restricted, both at fasts and feasts, but lest the law should be in any way evaded, each dish was limited to one kind of meat only. The King's supervision also extended to the wardrobes of his subjects. No Duke, Count or Baron was to have more than four robes a year, the same number being permitted to their wives. Prelates, and Knights for the most part, were limited to two, while every woman, whether single or married, whose annual income did not amount to the sum of two thousand livres was allowed but one! Imagine her Grace the Duchess or my Lady Countess of our own day submitting to have the number of her dresses limited by law!

But not only the number, even the very cut, color, trimming and price of these garments were arranged by royal decree. Only persons of certain rank were entitled to wear cloaks trimmed with fur, and the breadth of these borders, together with the quality of the fur or ermine, was to vary according to the different classes of nobility. The use of fur has indeed only become general within the last few centuries. It was not worn by the reformed nations of antiquity, but as luxury gained ground in more modern times, Princes began to make use of it for lining their tents. In the days of Philip the Fair, the size of a cape, the length of a lady's train was also determined by the rank of the wearer.

Lined velvet caps were permitted only to Kings, Princes and Knights. Their cloaks were of scarlet or violet cloth, while those of meaner rank went clad in garments of more somber hue. At times the sumptuary laws were very strictly enforced, and we hear of occasional instances in which overdressed ladies and gentlemen were seized at a ball and carried off to prison for setting the royal commands at defiance.

But of all classes of society the citizens suffered most from the harassing, if necessary, restrictions. Their comforts, as well as their superfluities, were suppressed by the jealous vigilance of the law. Not only were they and their households forbidden the privilege of wearing furs, jewelry or "crowns of gold and silver," but no citizen's wife was allowed to use the primitive two-wheeled vehicle which later developed into the more comfortable coach, or carriage. She must ride behind her husband on the same horse when she did not go afoot; nor might she be lighted home through the streets at night with waxen torches in emulation of her betters. Doubtless in the reign of Philip the Fair, the different classes of society strove to tread upon each other's heels, much as they do now, and these apparently petty restrictions were devised to meet the occasion. It is fair to say, however, that the King himself set an example of moderation and frugality. In these days the royal family wore gold and jewels but sparingly, and showed a praiseworthy simplicity in the furnishing and decoration of the royal table.

But neither example nor precept served to check the extravagance of our neighbors over the water. Luxury increased among them, and in the time of Henry IV.—the once simple, hardy King of Navarre—the taste for dress had reached an absurd height. The wearing apparel of this period was profusely decorated with gold, silver and jewels; and it is recorded of the King's favorite, Gabrielle d'Estrees, that when fully dressed she was so encumbered with finery that she could neither move nor stand! One is reminded of a French Court of later date, and of the new made Empress Josephine staggering under the magnificence of the splendid train which her unwilling sisters-in-law so negligently supported.

The love of display and senseless profusion which characterized the France of the Bourbons reappeared after a brief hiatus under the first Empire, being fostered by the lavish expenditure of the court, and once more in the palmy days of the Empress Eugenie. Many husbands and fathers of our own times have had reason to deplore that the extravagance and excessive variety of costumes which she encouraged among her subjects should of late years have been wafted across the channel to our more sober island.

KAFFIR CUSTOMS.

Wives Purchased on the Installment Plan and Other Peculiarities.

The Kaffir, although a polygamist, never ill treats his wives. But in war the savage nature predominates. All the female captives are divided among the warriors, the younger ones being retained, while those who are too old to work are killed. The price of a girl or an "entombi," as she is called, is from ten to fifteen cows, sometimes more, according to her comeliness. I was amused when I heard that the suitor would pay for his bride on the installment plan, a cow or two at a time. In San Francisco you can buy a piano or a sewing machine on that principle, but buying a wife in the same way was something I was not prepared to hear. When the Zulu lover has paid the greater part of his debt he is allowed to visit his dark beauty. I can not assert that in his gallantry he turns over the leaves of her music of the latest Kaffir song, nor do I believe that the "old man" comes across the courtyard to turn off the gas, but it is the same old story any way. After whispering in her ear the tales of love which the Zulu traditions teach, he picks up his assegai and sentimentally wends his way home by moonlight, no doubt coddling his brain as to how he is going to pay the next assessment on his matrimonial stock.

If a girl be good looking—and some of them really are—she is the victim of rivalry. Not being a factor in the parental authority, she is accustomed to hear that there is a better offer for her than the one her father first accepted. "Antelope Jim" has offered four more cows than "Half-a-Crown John." But as a general thing the old man keeps his word, and the original price is strictly adhered to. When the last cow is paid up, the contract is ready to be fulfilled. You couldn't get any one in "Frisco" to buy a piano or sewing machine on such an "installment plan." But the Zulu arrangement may be an improvement. I knew a man in Petaluma some years ago who bought a horse for which he was to pay in six equal payments and one year's time. In six months the animal got blind of one eye, his hoofs cracked, the boots paid a friendly visit and "came to stay." Before nine months had passed the horse was turned out to die, and my friend Brown paid his last installment on a "dead horse." I thought of Brown's purchase, but he had the benefit of possession, while the Kaffir must pay up all arrearages before he can claim his property.

When the last cow is paid up a grand pow-wow is in order. One of the animals is killed, or an equivalent number of goats. The girls are all engaged in making Kaffir beer or stringing up new beads, and polishing up their brass-wire jewelry. The bride, with her father, meets the groom and his friends at the entrance to the kraal, where all shake hands, and every thing goes on as "merry as a marriage bell."

Some of your lady readers may wish to know how the bride is dressed. Well, to commence with, her hair or rather wool, is done up in little ridges running from one ear to the other across the head until it reaches the top of the skull, then it changes its course and goes up and down to the neck behind the ears. The hair is stiffened with red clay, mixed with palm oil or grease, which has a curious appearance, being blood-red. For a bridal robe the lady has a coat of oil or grease rubbed all over her body, which makes it shine like a piece of polished marble. Her fingers are adorned with brass-wire rings, on her waist several rows of brass bangles, on her ankles iron rings, and she wears a nice little apron made of colored beads, about six inches square, fastened by a few strings of large green or yellow beads.

The bridegroom is dressed, or rather stripped, in the usual way. Sometimes a blanket or tiger's skin is thrown over his right shoulder, and this completes his wedding costume. After a big dinner of roast meat, corn-meal, mush and milk and Kaffir beer, the night is spent in dancing, and the young couple take their departure at sunrise next morning to the sound of Kaffir drum and the blowing of horns. The father and mother kiss the daughter, and with a parting "Cai muschela" (be good), and another injunction, "Hamba guchela" (go slow), they go on their way to raise cattle and corn.

—South Africa Cor. San Francisco Examiner.

A PERMANENT BOARDER.

Why Mr. Nibson is Still Boarding with Mrs. Coldsteel.

Nibson prides himself on being a man of nerve; but he is not equal to every thing.

"My landlady is going to move again," he said to Softley. "I have boarded with her three years, and have moved with her five times; but this is too much."

He packed his trunk that night, and in the morning casually informed Mrs. Coldsteel that he was going to make a change and that an expressman would call for his baggage before noon.

"So kind of you, Mr. Nibson," she answered. "To pack your things and save me the trouble; but I will attend to the moving. You will find your trunk in your room at the new house when you come home this evening, and you may leave me the latch-key you are now carrying."

"But I am going—"

"Yes, you are going down town, and you have no time to lose. I will attend to every thing. Better come home early, as you won't get your new latch-key until to-morrow."

Nibson is still boarding with Mrs. Coldsteel.—Drake's Travelers' Magazine.

Take the SUNDAY BAZOO.

TESTING COWS.

A Good Way of Determining the Quality of Milk and Cream.

Here is a good idea. Everybody must acknowledge the almost absolutely necessary milk and butter test of the cows in the herd in order to weed out, and tell just where the dairyman stands relative to improving his herd. We know the only reason why all do not avail themselves of this method of getting at the bottom facts of their herds is that it requires too much trouble. We have not discovered any way for avoiding the trouble, and a great deal of it, too, in getting at the butter yield, but we do believe that there is a simple method of ridding yourself of nine-tenths of the labor of making tests. It is this, take all the milking pails that are of course made of heavy tin or seamless iron-clad and starting at the bottom mark a scale on the inside up to the top or brim. This scale must, at every fourth of an inch or less space, tell just what the mass of milk weighs when reaching a given point in the pail. Then when the man finishes milking all he need do is to set the pail down on the floor of the stable, and with a clean, neat little paddle pull the foam away from the scale, and set down in a book at what point the milk stands. This scale could be made to indicate single pounds of milk, if necessary, and the figures should at the time the pail is made be pressed into the tin sides. Measuring with a stick on which the scale is made is not so satisfactory, owing to the trouble of having to look up the stick when so many are using it. What manufacturer of dairy implements will be the first to introduce pails for milking with these figures and scale stamped in the sides? This would only give the amount of the milk yield, but, with an occasional churning, this record would be of the greatest value in determining the quality of the cow.

The trouble of testing cows lies in the act of weighing correctly and deducting the tare of the pail, and as every pail has a different weight it is much trouble to keep this tare correct, and then again it requires some little time to adjust the scale to the correct weight, even with spring scales. A strip of glass in the side of the pail with a scale cut on it would show the weight of milk from the outside, but this glass is too readily broken to make it a practical implement for the stable. The scale should be pressed into the tin so it could not be rubbed off in scouring the pail. A little practice with such a pail, we think, would do away with all the present objection to testing cows in the regular work of the dairy. All that would be necessary would be a small slate and pencil hung up behind each cow, or one large one at the receiving can, and the record could be made correctly in a jiffy by even the most careless and indifferent hands.—American Dairyman.

RIBBON BOWS.

The Favorite Hat Trimmings for the Midsummer Season.

Ribbon bows have superseded almost universally any other trimming on turbans. An occasional model is brought out with two or more birds' wings set in the midst of the bow; but fancy feathers have had their day for the present, while the growing disfavor of the sacrifice of birds for dress ornamentation is banishing wings, heads and small birds from millinery. How long this merciful protest against the murder of the innocents to minister to woman's vanity may stir the bosom of fashion we can not tell, but for the moment my lady is more than willing to compromise on ribbon bows. A very beautiful turban with the shelling, melon-shaped crown, made of alternate folds of brown gros-grain ribbon and bands of cerise, broad, rough braid running from the front to the back, has the brim overlaid with a puff of brown velvet, and a monture in front of brown velvet and cerise faille-Francaise ribbon; and a turban of navy-blue Milan braid, with the brim smoothly faced with blue velvet, is made to match a costume in a monture of a drapery bow of satin broche in blue and old gold, set with several upright loops of poppy-red faille-Francaise ribbon.

Bows of mixed colors also trim the more pronounced dress-hats. A model in memory, of broad, rough braid in sphynx-gray, navy-blue and poppy red, has the brim faced with navy-blue velvet, and garland-like bows of gray, blue and red climbing from the back over the left side of the crown. It will be observed in the trimming of this hat that there is nothing around the crown; absence of the usual crown trimming is a caprice of the moment. Flowers and lace, or flowers veiled with silk tulle, crepe lisse, or pointed-espirt net or gauze, very ribbon bows as the objective garniture on hats. Among the most tasteful of the summer hats are those with the crown of black Milan braid, and the brim of rough straw braid; the brim faced with black velvet, a cluster of red silk or velvet poppies posed at the left side, or at the left of the front, veiled with black tulle or pointed-espirt net, this trimming held in place by several small, tight bows of No. 12 black velvet ribbon. A caprice of the moment is expressed also in white flowers. They are much used in the trimming of bonnets, and very colored flowers as trimming for hats; clusters of white lilac, elder, hawthorn, spirea, or some other small-petalled flowers being the addendum to the ribbon bows, or set in the midst of the tuft of feathers which is posed against the crown.—Millinery Trade Review.

Take the Weekly BAZOO.

SEDALIA UNIVERSITY,
SEDALIA, MO.

Full faculty of experienced teachers in Metaphysics, Classics, Mathematics, Physics, Modern Languages, Elocution, Music and art. Total expenses for scholastic year, including tuition, board, room-rent, fuel, lights, \$150. or less than \$4 per week for everything. No EXTRA except for music and painting. These are taught by the best artists in Central Missouri, at low rates. President and family live in the college building. Students and teachers board at same table. Students' rooms are all neatly papered, nicely carpeted, and comfortably furnished. Address,
REV. JAMES EDMONSON, Sedalia, Mo.



This is a BAZOO—Price Ten Cents—Directions for Using, Etc.

This wonderful musical instrument, for the people now on earth, imitates any bird or animal. With it you can play or sing any tune. It requires no instruction to use it. Let one play a lively tune on a violin, BAZOO, piano or organ, and on two others drone an accompaniment with the BAZOO and you have a good bagpipe. You can imitate "Punch and Judy" to perfection by speaking in a shrill voice. Do not blow into the BAZOO; but sing, speak or make some noise, as the cut-out-cut-da-cut of a hen, the crow of a rooster, the caw of a crow, the moo of a cow and hundreds of other noises. If the BAZOO does not work properly place the lips over the four holes in the tin and draw the breath in and out a few times. Many imitations can be made better by speaking through the three round holes in the wood, or covering three holes in the tin with the lips, leaving the fourth uncovered. A quartette or chorus singing through the BAZOO will bring down the house with great applause and invariably receive repeated encores. Buy four BAZOOS, organize a quartette and try it. It furnishes good dancing music to excursions, picnics, etc.

The music produced is new and taking. String and brass orchestras find the BAZOO a very important addition. The BAZOO sells readily in stores, street and newstands, at fairs, races, pleasure resorts, &c. Price, 10c. by mail 11c.

Address J. W. GOODWIN, Sedalia, Mo.

LIFE AND CRIME OF BILL FOX!

The life and murderous crime of BILL FOX, one of the most noted criminals ever in the west, executed at Nevada, Mo., December 28, 1883, has been published in pamphlet form, illustrated. The book gives the full details of the trial of Fox for the murder of T. W. Howard, May 20, 1883, and the confession of his murder, implicating the woman, Mrs. Rose. Price, 10c. Address,
J. WEST GOODWIN,
Sedalia, Mo.

CATARRH FREE

NOTICE OF TRUSTEES' SALE.

Whereas, on the 4th day of June, 1885, Carl J. Stoebe made, executed and delivered to D. H. Ettien two deeds of trust, whereby he conveyed to said D. H. Ettien the real estate hereinafter described, the first deed of trust being given for the purpose of securing the payment of one promissory note or bond, made to Jas. L. Lombard for \$400, payable June 1st, 1889, with interest coupons attached, being for \$14 each, payable on the 1st days of June and December in each year, and the second deed of trust was given to secure the payment of one promissory note, made to the said Jas. L. Lombard, payable June 1st, 1886, and drawing 10 per cent. interest after maturity, the said first deed of trust being filed for record in the office of the recorder of deeds in and for Pettis county, state of Missouri, on the 5th day of June, 1885, at 3:25 p. m., and was duly recorded in book 38, at pages 413-417, and the second deed of trust was filed for record in the office of the recorder of deeds in and for Pettis county, on the 5th day of June, 1885, at 3:30 o'clock p. m., and was duly recorded in book 38 at pages 417-419. The said Carl J. Stoebe covenanted in said first deed of trust to pay the interest coupons promptly when due, and that if default should be made by him in the payment of any of said interest coupons, or any part thereof, when due, that the whole amount of said promissory note and interest coupons should at once become due and payable, and that D. H. Ettien might proceed to sell the deed premises for the purpose of satisfying said note, or bond and interest coupons, with interest thereon and costs of said sale, the said property being situated in the county of Pettis and state of Missouri, as follows, to-wit:

A strip of ground 40 feet wide across the south end of lot 8 in Jesse B. Short's subdivision of lot 5, block B, in Wood's addition to the original city of Sedalia, Mo., being a part of the southeast fourth of section 4, township 45, north of range 21 west of the 5th principal meridian, according to the recorded plat thereof. And whereas, the said Carl J. Stoebe has failed and neglected to pay or cause to be paid, the interest coupons that fell due on June 1st, 1885, and June 1st, 1886, and also failed to pay the promissory note which fell due June 1st, 1886, therefore, the whole amount secured by said deeds of trust is now due and payable thereunder. Now, therefore, notice is hereby given that in pursuance of the statutes of the state of Missouri in such cases made and provided for, and the power vested in me under the terms, conditions and covenants of said deed of trust, I will offer the above described property for sale at public auction, to the highest bidder for cash, at the west front door of the court house in the city of Sedalia, in the county of Pettis and state of Missouri, on

MONDAY, THE 23d DAY OF

AUGUST, 1886,

at 1 o'clock in the afternoon of said day.

8-303 D. H. ETTIEN, Trustee.

AGENTS WANTED FOR DR. SCOTT'S

Corsets. Sample free to those desiring same. No risk, quick sales.

Terminally given, satisfaction guaranteed. Address, DR. SCOTT, 842 Broadway St., N. Y.

GEO. F. LONGAN. WM. D. STEELE.

LONGAN & STEELE,

ATTORNEYS AT LAW,

5-1dewly SEDALIA, MO.

DOCTOR

WHITTIER

617, ST. CHARLES STREET,

ST. LOUIS, MO.

A Regular Graduate of three medical colleges, has been longer engaged in the treatment of Chronic, Nervous, Skin and Blood Diseases than any other physician in America. Consultation at office or by mail, free. Medicines sent by mail or express everywhere, securely packed free from observation.

Nervous Prostration, Debility, Mental and Physical Weakness, arising from Indiscretion, Excess, Exposure or Indulgence, producing some of the following effects: Nervousness, Debility, Dizziness, Headache, Stomach Derangement, Loss of Power, Pains in the Back, etc., are treated with unparalleled success. Sufferers, privately.

A Positive Written Guarantee

given in every CURABLE CASE; where NO cure exists it is frankly stated. Complete symptom blank enabling you to properly state your case, sent free. 30 page book, either sex, one stamp.

Blood Impurities and Blood Poisoning, Mercurial and other Affections of Throat, Skin and Bones, Blisters, Eruptions, Old Sores and Ulcers, Painful Swellings, from whatever cause, positively and forever driven from the system, by means of SAFE, TIMELY REMEDIES. STIFF AND SWOLLEN JOINTS AND RHEUMATISM, the result of blood poison, positively cured. No poisonous drugs used.

Catarrh, Throat, Nose, Lung Diseases, Constitutional and Acquired Weaknesses of both sexes, treated successfully. Age and experience are important; the proved good remedies of all ages and countries are used, and knowing what to give, no experiments are made. On account of the great number of cases applying, the charges are kept low, often lower than is demanded by others.

MARRIAGE GUIDE

260 Pages, - - - Fine Plates.

Elegant cloth and gilt binding. Sealed for 30c in money or postage stamps. Over fifty wonderful full page pictures, showing who may marry, who not, why. Proper age to marry. Who marry first. Manhood. Womanhood. Physical decay. Who should marry. How life and happiness may be increased. Those married or about to marry should read it; of interest and value to every thinking man and woman. Pioneer edition.

Prepared by

CLIN & CIE.

Paris.

CAPSULES